

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/918,223

Applicant(s)

SHANTZ ET AL.

Examiner

Susan T. Tran

Art Unit

1615

## All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) Edward Milbrada.

Status of Application: Non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

IDS filed 12/12/03


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to incorporate 1) claim 11 into claim 17; 2) the amounts of surfactant and non-cellulosic organic polymer into claim 17. Applicant's agent request a written rejection.

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)